EXHIBIT A

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8406-1 Filed: 07/15/20 Entered: 07/15/20 13:16:49 Page 1

ot 14

Exhibit A

Retention Orders

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 8406-1 Filed: 07/15/20 Entered: 07/15/20 13:16:49 Page 2 of 14

Entered on Docket

April 25, 2019
EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



1 2 3 4 5	THOMAS B. WALPER (State Bar No. 96 thomas.walper@mto.com HENRY WEISSMANN (State Bar No. 13 henry.weissmann@mto.com BRADLEY SCHNEIDER (State Bar NoDENNIS I	MONTALI kruptcy Judge
6	Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071	
7 8	Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 683-3702	
9 10	Proposed Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession	
11 12	NORTHERN DISTR	ANKRUPTCY COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION
13 14	In re	Bankruptcy Case
15	PG&E CORPORATION,	No. 19-30088 (DM) Chapter 11
16	-and-	(Lead Case)
17 18	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, Debtors.	(Jointly Administered)
19	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation	ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 327(e) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 2014(a) AND
20 21	☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company ☑ Affects both Debtors	2016 FOR AUTHORITY TO RETAIN AND EMPLOY MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP AS COUNSEL FOR
22		CERTAIN MATTERS THE DEBTORS EFFECTIVE AS OF THE PETITION
23		DATE
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 l	.1	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

24

25

26

27

28

Upon the Application, dated February 13, 2019 (the "Application"), of PG&E Corporation ("PG&E Corp.") and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the "Utility"), as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, "PG&E" or the "Debtors") in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"), pursuant to section 327(e) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rules 2014(a) and 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for authority to retain and employ Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP ("MTO" or "Firm") as counsel for certain matters for the Debtors effective as of the Petition Date, under a general retainer, all as more fully set forth in the Application; and this Court having jurisdiction to consider the Application and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges, General Order 24 and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Bankruptcy Local Rules"); and consideration of the Application and the requested relief being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Application having been provided to the parties listed therein, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Application, the Weissmann Declaration, the Loduca Declaration, and the Wells Declaration; and upon the record of the Hearing (if any was held) and all of the proceedings had before the Court; and this Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Application is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all parties in interest; and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Application establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. This Application is granted as provided herein.
- 2. The Debtors are authorized, pursuant to section 327(e) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2014(a) and 2016, to retain and employ MTO as counsel under the terms and conditions set forth in the Application and the Weissmann Declaration effective *nunc pro tunc* to the Petition Date.

- 3. The Weissmann Declaration sets for the services being provided by, and to be provided by, MTO to the Debtors with respect to the following matters (the "Specific Matters"):
 - a. Advising and representing the Debtors with respect to federal, state, and local laws and regulations as they relate to (1) wildfires and the effects of wildfire liabilities on the Debtors' businesses; (2) the relative jurisdiction of the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC"), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), and federal courts, including the Bankruptcy Court; and (3) motions and other negotiations and proceedings in the Chapter 11 Cases, and other legal proceedings, that may affect the interests of the CPUC and/or parties in CPUC proceedings involving the Debtors, including matters that may affect or relate to the Debtors' management, governance, structure, and rates;
 - b. Advising and representing the Debtors in CPUC Proceedings, including but not limited to: (a) R.19-01-006, Order Instituting Rulemaking to Implement Public Utilities Code Section 451.2 Regarding Criteria and Methodology for Wildfire Cost Recovery Pursuant to Senate Bill 901 ("CPUC Proceeding R.19-01-006"); (b) I.15-08-019, Order Instituting Investigation on the Commission's Own Motion to Determine Whether Pacific Gas and Electric Company and PG&E Corporation's Organizational Culture and Governance Prioritize Safety ("CPUC Proceeding I.15-08-019"); (c) A.19-02-016, Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company for a Waiver of the Capital Structure Condition ("CPUC Proceeding A.19-02-016"); (d) A.18-11-001, Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to issue, sell, and deliver one or more series of Debt Securities and to guarantee the obligations of others in respect of the issuance of Debt Securities; to execute and deliver one or more indentures; to sell, lease, assign, mortgage, or otherwise dispose of or encumber utility property; to issue, sell and deliver in one or more series, cumulative Preferred Stock -- \$25 Par

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
~-	l

Value, Preferred Stock -- \$100 Par Value, Preference Stock or any combination thereof; to utilize various debt enhancement features; and enter into interest rate hedges ("CPUC Proceeding A.18-11-001"); (e) A.18-10-003, Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to increase its authority to finance short-term borrowing needs and procurement-related collateral costs by \$2.0 billion to an aggregate amount not to exceed \$6.0 billion ("CPUC Proceeding A.18-10-003"); and (f) any other related or similar CPUC proceeding or other CPUC proceeding arising from the matters for which MTO is representing the Debtors;

- c. Advising and representing the Utility regarding its rights and obligations under various power purchase agreements, including in connection with *NextEra Energy, Inc., et al. v. Pacific Gas and Electric Company*, FERC Docket No. 19-35-000, and *PG&E Corp. et al. v. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission*, Adv. Proc. No. 19-03003 (N.D. Cal.); advising and representing the Utility on any appeal from these matters and, potentially, as an amicus curiae in other proceedings involving similar legal issues;
- d. Advising the Debtors regarding compliance with laws and regulations
 governing public utilities, including Division 1, Part 1 of the California Public
 Utilities Code and the CPUC's affiliate transaction rules (including Decision
 06-12-029 and related decisions);
- e. Advising and representing the Debtors as necessary and appropriate with respect to potential actions by state government actors, including the legislature and the CPUC, that may affect or relate to the Debtors' reorganization, plan, and operations, including with respect to liability standards, insurance and related cost-spreading regimes, recovery of costs in rates and the Debtors' management, governance and structure;
- f. Advising and representing the Debtors with respect to issues arising under California law that may affect or relate to the Debtors' reorganization, plan and

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

27

28

operations; California corporate law including but limited to fiduciary duties and opinions regarding due authority; California law governing corporate forms; the California Public Records Act;

- g. Advising and representing the Debtors with regard to potential criminal, civil, and administrative liability in connection with the 2017 and 2018 Northern California wildfires, including:
 - i. Advising and representing the Debtors in civil or administrative
 proceedings relating to the 2017 and 2018 Northern California wildfires;
 - ii. Advising and representing the Debtors concerning the Butte County Settlement;
 - iii. Coordinating with Debtors' counsel in civil actions and administrative proceedings arising from wildfires to provide advice regarding potential impact on and coordination with the Debtors' response to and positions in the criminal investigations and any resulting prosecutions;
 - iv. Advising and representing the Debtors and coordinating with other counsel in connection with any possible or proposed resolutions or settlements of criminal, civil, or administrative liability arising from California wildfires; and
- h. Providing all other necessary legal services for the Debtors, as related to the above matters, in connection with the above captioned Chapter 11 Cases, including fact investigation, legal researching, briefing, argument, discovery, reorganization, plan and disclosure statement matters, appearance and participation in hearings, and communications and meetings with parties in interest.
- 4. MTO shall be compensated in accordance with, and will file, interim and final fee applications for allowance of its compensation and expenses and shall be subject to sections 330 and 331 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, the Bankruptcy Local Rules, the Fee Guidelines, and any further order of the Court;

- MTO shall be reimbursed for reasonable and necessary expenses as provided by the Fee Guidelines;
- 6. MTO is authorized without further order of the Court to apply amounts from the Retainer to compensate and reimburse MTO and the Economic Consultants for fees or expenses incurred prior to the Petition Date consistent with their ordinary course billing practices. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Engagement Letter, the Debtors are not obligated to replenish the Retainer. MTO shall hold the balance of the Retainer until the conclusion of the MTO engagement and the payment of the fees, costs, and expenses of MTO as approved in the MTO final fee application, after which MTO shall return any remaining balance of the Retainer to the Debtors.
- 7. MTO shall use its best efforts to avoid any duplication of services provided by any of the Debtors' other retained professionals in these Chapter 11 Cases;
- 8. Notice of the Application as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of the Application;
- 9. MTO shall provide reasonable notice to the Debtors and the U.S. Trustee of any increase of MTO's hourly rates as set forth in the Weissmann Declaration;
- 10. To the extent the Application is inconsistent with this Order, the terms of the Order shall govern;
- 11. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

END OF ORDER

Entered on Docket

October 03, 2019
EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



1	BRAD BRIAN (State Bar No. 79001)	and Filed: October 2, 2019	ALL!
2	Brad.Bran @ Into.com	and Filed: October 2, 2019	
2	THOMAS B. WALPER (State Bar No. 96 thomas.walper@mto.com	10	
3	HENRY WEISSMANN (State Bar No. 13	Leuns Mental.	
	henry.weissmann@mto.com		
4	BRADLEY SCHNEIDER (State Bar NODENNIS		
5	bradley.schneider@mto.com U.S. Ban MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	kruptcy Judge	
5	350 South Grand Avenue		
6	Fiftieth Floor		
_	Los Angeles, California 90071		
7	Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 683-3702		
8	1 acsimile. (213) 003-3702		
9	Proposed Attorneys for Debtors		
10	and Debtors in Possession		
10	UNITED STATES B.	ANKRUPTCY COURT	
11		ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCI	ISCO DIVISION	
12	In re	Bankruptcy Case	
13	III IC	No. 19-30088 (DM)	
	PG&E CORPORATION,		
14	1	Chapter 11	
15	-and-	(Lead Case)	
1.5	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	(Lead Case)	
16	COMPANY,	(Jointly Administered)	
17	Debtors.	ODDED AMENDING ODDED	
17	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation	ORDER AMENDING ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 327(a) AND	
18	☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company	FED. R. BANKR. P. 2014(a) AND 2016	
	Affects both Debtors	FOR AUTHORITY TO RETAIN AND	
19		EMPLOY MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSO LLP AS COUNSEL FOR CERTAIN)N
20		MATTERS AS OF THE PETITION DA	ГF
21		[No hearing requested]	
22		[Relates to Dkt. No. 1677]	
		[Relates to DRt. No. 1077]	
23			
ر م		J	
24			
25			
26			

27

1	
2	Corp
3	and
4	chap
5	Fed.
6	LLP
7	[Dkt
8	relie
9	Ban
10	of th
11	Cali
12	the r
13	prop
14	App
15	furtl
16	Wei
17	proc
18	soug

Upon the application, dated September 18, 2019 (the "Application to Amend"), of PG&E poration ("PG&E Corp.") and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the "Utility"), as debtors debtors in possession (collectively, "PG&E" or the "Debtors") in the above-captioned oter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"), to amend Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 327(e) and R. Bankr. P. 2014(a) and 2016 for Authority to Retain and Employ Munger, Tolles & Olson as Counsel for Certain Matters Effective as of the Petition Date (the "Retention Order") No. 1677]; and this Court having jurisdiction to consider the Application to Amend and the f requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the Order Referring kruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges, General Order 24 and Rule 5011-1(a) e Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of fornia (the "Bankruptcy Local Rules"); and consideration of the Application to Amend and requested relief being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being er before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the lication having been provided to the parties listed therein, and it appearing that no other or ner notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Application to Amend and the ssmann Declaration; and upon the record of the Hearing (if any was held) and all of the eedings had before the Court; and this Court having found and determined that the relief ght in the Application to Amend is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all parties in interest; and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Application to Amend establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Application to Amend is granted as provided herein.
- 2. The Retention Order is hereby amended to provide that the Specific Matters include:
 - a. Representing and advising the Debtors with regard to regulatory, corporate, transactional, and other legal issues associated with potential structural options in relation to electric distribution systems;

27

20

21

22

23

24

25

- b. Representing the Debtors as co-counsel at trial in the first trial in the consolidated action captioned *California North Bay Fire Cases*, JCCP No. 4995
 (Cal. Super. Ct.) (the "Tubbs Fire Action");
- c. Representing and advising the Debtors in connection with any other civil actions or proceedings arising out of or related to the Northern California wildfires.
- 3. Subject to the foregoing clarifying amendment, the Retention Order remains in effect and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 4. Notice of the Application to Amend as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of the Application to Amend;
- 5. To the extent the Application to Amend is inconsistent with this Order, the terms of the Order shall govern;
- 6. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

END OF ORDER

Entered on Docket

November 15, 2019
EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



1	BRAD BRIAN (State Bar No. 79001)	Land Filed: Nevember 44, 2040
2	Brad.Brian@mto.com THOMAS B. WALPER (State Bar No. 96	I and Filed: November 14, 2019
	thomas.walper@mto.com	10
3	HENRY WEISSMANN (State Bar No. 13	Clevino Montale.
4	henry.weissmann@mto.com BRADLEY SCHNEIDER (State Bar Nodennia)	
4		S MONTALI Inkruptcy Judge
5	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
6	350 South Grand Avenue Fiftieth Floor	
	Los Angeles, California 90071	
7	Telephone: (213) 683-9100	
8	Facsimile: (213) 683-3702	
9	Proposed Attorneys for Debtors and Debtors in Possession	
10	and Deviors in Possession	
		BANKRUPTCY COURT
11		RICT OF CALIFORNIA CISCO DIVISION
12		DIVISION
12	In re	Bankruptcy Case
13	PG&E CORPORATION,	No. 19-30088 (DM)
14		Chapter 11
15	-and-	(Lead Case)
15	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	(Lead Case)
16	COMPANY,	(Jointly Administered)
17	Debtors.	ORDER AMENDING ORDER
	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation	PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 327(e) AND
18	☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company ☑ Affects both Debtors	FED. R. BANKR. P. 2014(a) AND 2016 FOR AUTHORITY TO RETAIN AND
19	Affects both Debtors	EMPLOY MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON
		LLP AS COUNSEL FOR CERTAIN
20		MATTERS AS OF THE PETITION DATE
21		[No hearing requested]
22		[Relates to Dkt. No. 1677]
		[Relates to DRt. No. 1077]
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
- ′	.1	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	١.
11	
12	1
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18]
19]
20	1
21	
22	
23	
24	,

Upon the application, dated October 28, 2019 (the "Second Application to Amend"), of PG&E Corporation ("PG&E Corp.") and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the "Utility"), as debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, "PG&E" or the "Debtors") in the abovecaptioned chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"), to amend Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 327(e) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2014(a) and 2016 for Authority to Retain and Employ Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP as Counsel for Certain Matters Effective as of the Petition Date [Dkt No. 1677] (the "**Retention Order**"), as amended by that Order Amending Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 327(e) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2014(a) and 2016 for Authority to Retain and Employ Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP as Counsel for Certain Matters as of the Petition Date [Dkt. No. 4083] (the "First Amended Retention Order"); and this Court having jurisdiction to consider the Application to Amend and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges, General Order 24 and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Bankruptcy Local Rules"); and consideration of the Second Application to Amend and the requested relief being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Second Application to Amend having been provided to the parties listed therein, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Second Application to Amend and the Weissmann Declaration; and upon the record of the Hearing (if any was held) and all of the proceedings had before the Court; and this Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Second Application to Amend is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all parties in interest; and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Second Application to Amend establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Second Application to Amend is granted as provided herein.

28

25

26